

From Sarcasm to Substance : Searching for Progress on Academic Quality

Linda A. George
Professor of Environmental Science and Management
Chair of PSU Academic Quality Committee

TEACHING

Internationalization
Increase diversity
Support access mission
On-line courses
Recruit international students
Inquiry-based curriculum
Community-based learning
Undergraduate research
Internships
Writing across the curriculum
Globalization
Sustainability
Numeracy
Updated curriculum
Improve retention
Support Honor's program
Graduate education

RESEARCH

Publications of
Creative works
Funded
Research

What Administrators
Faculty ask of

AAUP-PSU MOU -TASKFORCE ON ACADEMIC QUALITY (TAQ)

TAQ 2014 Charge

“The University agrees to provide support to fund the identification and description of PSU’s aspirational comparators.”

TAQ 2014-2015 modification of Charge

“Identify aspirational comparators of academic quality ... by identify aspirational practices – independent of institution type – that promote AQ”

Campus survey

1. What do you think represents AQ in Teaching, Research, Service?
2. Five institutions that embody this ?
3. What can PSU do to improve AQ in T,R,S?

TAQ 2015-16

- Analyze campus-wide survey and conduct literature review
- Identify aspirational practices and potential indicators
- Explore case studies to examine implementation of aspirational practices at other institutions
- Recommendations for implementation at PSU

5 aspirational practices

1. Undergraduate research
2. Graduate experience
3. Writing
4. Interdisciplinary teaching and research
5. Support for faculty scholarly activities

Template for Taskforce on Academic Quality Report

for each of 5 aspirational practices

- **Overview of Aspirational Practice**
- **Alignment with Strategic Plan**
- **Summary of literature of the benefits of the practice for students**
- **Case Studies**
 - **3-4 that illustrate different ways to implement best practices**
- **Best Practices**
- **Implementation recommendations for PSU**
 - **What is already being done at PSU**
 - **Based on what we have learned, what more could/should be done, ideas for corralling existing activities for better impact, cost estimates?**
 - **Indicators of successful implementation**
- **Implications for faculty workload**
- **Implications for tenure**
- **Implications for faculty development**

AP1 – Undergraduate research

Undergraduate participation in research improves student understanding, confidence, and career awareness; it helps faculty achieve research agenda.

This AP is aligned with **PSU Strategic Plan** Goal to Elevate Student Success and to uphold Community Engagement and Civic Leadership.

- **Best practices**
 - mentorship, funding for students and research, undergrad research office, journals
- **Implication for faculty**
 - can improve research output by faculty, but may require more time to train and mentor students - could be alleviated by linking URO to course work/existing teaching load
 - interpretation of faculty scholarship would shift - recommend a higher emphasis be placed on scholarly work with undergraduate students
- **Preliminary recommendations for new [Ad Hoc committee on Undergraduate Research](#)**
 - Funding for research experiences for students and integration of research into curriculum
 - Funding for an undergraduate research office, and initiatives to coordinate undergrad. Research campus wide.
 - Mapping patterns of undergraduate research at PSU and developing metrics for **dashboard**.
- **TAQ task - Fall 2016**
 - explore indicators (% UG students with volunteer or paid research experience at PSU, % UG with senior thesis projects)

AP2 – Grad Student Experience

Graduate student success is critical to undergraduate success, improving research capacity and training next generation professionals.

This AP is aligned with **PSU Strategic Plan** Goal to Elevate Student Success and to promote Innovative Research and Scholarship.

- **Best practices** → Addressing financial and professional needs
- **Implication for faculty**
 - Improving conditions for grad students and improving mentor training will likely reduce faculty workload and increase research productivity.
- **Preliminary recommendations for [Ad Hoc on Grad Student Experience > Take up Grad Council?](#)**
 - Expand number of GTAs
 - Professional mentor training for faculty
 - Last mile scholarship for graduate students
 - Career center resources expanded to graduate student
- Metrics for Implementations – **Dashboard**
 - # of GTA awarded per School/Dept.
 - % of faculty trained to be grad mentors
 - Graduation rate of graduate students
 - # of graduate students using Career Services
- **TAQ task - Fall 2016** → Work with Graduate school

Aspirational Practices				
Graduate Student Experience				
	KEY	Funding for graduate students by College		
yes		College	number	OIRP
yes		hourly pay for student workers	dollars	OGS
yes		GTA	number	OIRP
yes		no support	number	OIRP
yes		GRA	number	OIRP
yes		GAA	number	OIRP
yes		Scholarships/Fellowships	number	
yes	KEY	Graduation rates of graduate students (by degree level and college)	years to completion	OGS
yes		Graduation rates by degree level and	rate	OGS
yes		Number of graduate students using Career	number/year	Career Services
		Number of grad students who developed a	number/year	AQC survey
		Number of career workshops for graduate	number/year	AQC survey
	KEY	Graduate student career preparation	Likert rating	AQC survey
yes		Number of students receiving last mile	amount	OGS
Yes		Number and focus of faculty workshops	category	UWC
Yes		Number of WIC course	department	UWC
		Measure campus support for developing a		AQC Survey
Yes		Ways students are currently satisfying writ	nsfer	OIRP
	KEY	SINQ Assessment		next step
We need this	KEY	Student writing assessment near exiting PSU (Senior Captstone?/By program/Major?)		



AQC Role – Flowchart

